H.R. 1398: Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky.

 $H.R.\ 1441:\ Mr.\ Burton$  of Indiana and Mr. Hamilton.

H.R. 1464: Mr. PICKETT.

H.R. 1475: Mr. Fox of Pennsylvania.

H.R. 1492: Mrs. TAUSCHER and Mr. MARTINEZ.

 $H.R.\ 1544:\ Mr.\ SESSIONS,\ Mr.\ CALVERT,\ and\ Mr.\ ENGLISH of Pennsylvania.$ 

H.R. 1596: Ms. HARMAN and Mr. ROTHMAN. H.R. 1623: Mr. GIBBONS, Mr. HAYWORTH, and Mr. MARTINEZ.

H.R. 1635: Mr. Hall of Ohio, Mr. Lazio of New York, Mr. Boehlert, Mr. Price of North Carolina, Mr. Pastor, Mr. Quinn, Ms. Furse, Mr. Houghton, Mr. Regula, and Mr. Gilman.

H.R. 1679: Mr. Fox of Pennsylvania. H.R. 1689: Ms. HOOLEY of Oregon, Mr.

WHITFIELD, and Mr. SHERMAN.

H.R. 1704: Mrs. EMERSON and Mr. WICKER.

H.R. 1727: Mr. MALONEY of Connecticut. H.R. 1733: Ms. KILPATRICK and Mr. LEVIN.

H.R. 1754: Ms. Brown of Florida, Mr. Cunningham, and Mr. Davis of Illinois.

H.R. 1797: Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina, Mr. WALSH, Mr. SHAYS, Mr. HOSTETTLER, Mr. PITTS, and Mr. MANZULLO.

H.R. 1822: Mr. DELLUMS, Mr. COOK, and Mr. SHERMAN.

H.R. 1836: Mr. SKEEN and Mr. McIntosh.

H.R. 1842: Mr. STEARNS and Mr. BONILLA.

H.R. 1845: Mr. DOOLEY of California, Mr. FROST, Mr. JOHN, and Mr. JOHNSON of Wisconsin.

 $H.R.\ 1863;\ Mr.\ WATKINS$  and  $Mr.\ MORAN$  of Kansas.

H.R. 1864: Mr. Cox of California.

H.R. 1914: Mr. Fox of Pennsylvania.

H.R. 1946: Mr. STRICKLAND.

 $\ensuremath{\text{H.R.}}$  1955: Mr. CLEMENT and Mr. Peterson of Pennsylvania.

H.R. 1984: Mr. HULSHOF, Mr. BUNNING of Kentucky, Mr. NEY, Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina, Mr. BARTON of Texas, Mr. COSTELLO, Mr. COMBEST, Mr. ENGLISH of Pennsylvania, Mrs. FOWLER, Mr. KLUG, Mr. LAHOOD, Mr. SHIMKUS, Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma, and Mr. KILDEE.

H.R. 2004: Ms. Woolsey.

H.R. 2021: Mrs. NORTHUP.

H.R. 2029: Mr. HAYWORTH.

H.R. 2064: Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut.

H.R. 2101: Mr. BASS and Mr. PICKERING.

H.R. 2112: Mr. STARK and Mr. EHLERS. H.R. 2113: Mrs. NORTHUP, Mr. SESSIONS

H.R. 2113: Mrs. NORTHUP, Mr. SESSIONS, Mr. HORN, Mr. EVANS, Mrs. MINK of Hawaii, Mr. SHADEGG, and Mr. ENGLISH of Pennsylvania.

H.R. 2116: Mr. Bunning of Kentucky, Mr. KILDEE, Mr. SABO, Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. HILLIARD, Mr. DELLUMS, Mr. SANFORD, Mr. FILNER, Mr. DIXON, Mr. ADAM SMITH of Washington, Mr. HOLDEN, Mr. GOODE, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Mr. LIPINSKI, Mr. CLYBURN, and Mr. FROST.

H.R. 2120: Mr. SANDERS, Mr. LIPINSKI, Mr. SANDLIN, Mr. FILNER, Mr. BROWN of California, and Ms. CARSON.

 $\ensuremath{\text{H.R.}}$  2122: Mr. Sensenbrenner and Mr. Martinez.

H.R. 2143: Mr. STARK and Ms. PELOSI.

H. Con Res. 52: Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, Mr. SAWYER, Mr. KILDEE, Ms. McCARTHY of Missouri, Mrs. EMERSON, and Mr. LUTHER.

H. Con. Res. 65: Mrs. LINDA SMITH of Washington, Mr. Frelinghuysen, and Mr. Aderholt.

H. Con. Res. 80: Mr. CLEMENT, Mr. BOYD, Mr. BACHUS, Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts, Mr. STUPAK, Ms. STABENOW, and Mr. NEAL of Massachusetts.

 $H.\ Res.\ 37;\ Mrs.\ FOWLER,\ Mr.\ COOK,\ and\ Mr.\ WAXMAN.$ 

 $\mbox{H. Res. }139; \mbox{ Mr. BASS and Mr. Fox of Pennsylvania.}$ 

H. Res. 173: Mr. CLYBURN, Ms. CARSON, Mrs. MYRICK, Ms. DEGETTE, Mr. BLILEY, Mrs. MALONEY of New York, Mrs. Lowey, Mr. BROWN of California, Mr. GREEN, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. KUCINICH, Mrs. MINK of Ha-

waii, Mr. Lipinski, Mr. Andrews, Mr. Stark, Mr. Maloney of Connecticut, Mrs. Thurman, Mr. Ford, Mr. Conyers, Ms. Delauro, Ms. Slaughter, Mr. Matsui, Mr. Bentsen, and Ms. Waters.

¶81.27 DELETIONS OF SPONSORS FROM PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, sponsors were deleted from public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 1984: Mr. EHLERS.

### THURSDAY, JULY 17, 1997 (82)

The House was called to order by the SPEAKER.

#### ¶82.1 APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER announced he had examined and approved the Journal of the proceedings of Wednesday, July 16, 1997.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal was approved.

#### ¶82.2 COMMUNICATIONS

Executive and other communications, pursuant to clause 2, rule XXIV, were referred as follows:

4228. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting a report to Congress that suspension for 6 months beyond August 1, 1997, of the right to bring an action under title III of the Cuban Liberty and Democratic Solidarity (LIBERTAD) Act of 1996 is necessary to the national interests of the United States and will expedite a transition to democracy in Cuba, pursuant to Public Law 104—114, section 306(c)(2); (H. Doc. No. 105—107); jointly to the Committees on International Relations and the Judiciary, and ordered to be printed.

## ¶82.3 INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION

On motion of Mr. GOSS, by unanimous consent, the bill of the Senate (S. 858) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1998 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes; was taken from the Speaker's table.

When said bill was considered and read twice.

Mr. GOSS submitted the following amendment which was agreed to:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the provisions of H.R. 1775, as passed by the House.

The bill, as amended, was ordered to be read a third time, was read a third time by title, and passed.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said bill, as amended, was passed was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

When on motion of Mr. GOSS, it was, *Resolved,* That the House insist upon its amendment and request a conference with the Senate on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon.

Thereupon, the SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. SUNUNU, by unanimous consent, appointed the following Members as managers on the part of the House at said conference:

From the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, for consideration of the Senate bill, and the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Messrs. Goss, Young of Florida, Lewis of California, Shuster, McCol-Lum, Castle, Boehlert, Bass, Gib-Bons, Dicks, Dixon, Skaggs, Ms. Pelosi, Ms. Harman, Mr. Skelton and Mr. Bishop.

From the Committee on National Security, for consideration of defense tactical intelligence and related activities:

Messrs. Spence, Stump, and Del-Lums.

*Ordered,* That the Clerk notify the Senate thereof.

By unanimous consent, H.R. 1775, a similar House bill, was laid on the table.

# ¶82.4 PROVIDING FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1853

Mr. McINNIS, by direction of the Committee on Rules, called up the following resolution (H. Res. 187):

Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 1(b) of rule XXIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1853) to amend the Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Applied Technology Education Act. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. Points of order against consideration of the bill for failure to comply with clause 2(1)(6) of rule XI are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Education and the Workforce. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the fiveminute rule. It shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Education and the Workforce now printed in the bill. The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read. During consideration of the bill for amendment, the Chairman of the Committee of the Whole may accord priority in recognition on the basis of whether the Member offering an amendment has caused it to be printed in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 6 of rule XXIII. Amendments so printed shall be considered as read. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except on a motion to recommit with or without instructions.

When said resolution was considered. After debate,

By unanimous consent, the previous question was ordered on the resolution to its adoption or rejection and under the operation thereof, the resolution was agreed to.